1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney	
2	District of Nevada	
3	LINDSY M. ROBERTS Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702-388-6336 Email: lindsy.roberts@usdoj.gov	
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for the United States.	
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12	JOSHUA PORTER,	
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:16-cv-00633-APG-CWH
14	v.	JOINT STIPULATION TO STAY DISCOVERY
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DOES I through X, and ROE ENTITIES I through X,	(First Request)
16		
17	Defendants.))
18		
19	The parties to this action stipulate to a stay of discovery, including procedures under	
20	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 26-1, pending a ruling on the United States' Motion to Dismiss	
21	for lack of subject matter jurisdiction (filed simultaneously with this Stipulation). There have	
22	been no previous requests for any stay or extension of discovery deadlines. The parties have	
23	exchanged initial and supplemental disclosures as well as written discovery. This request is	
24	made within 21 days of the expiration of the next deadline set forth within the Discovery Plan	
25	and Scheduling Order, which is the January 9, 2017, deadline for expert disclosures.	
26	This is a Federal Tort Claims Act claim. Plaintiff, an employee of the National Park	
27	Service, alleges that a National Park Service Emergency Medical Technician ("EMT") injured	
28	him when the EMT negligently attempted to intubate him following a medical emergency.	

Case 2:16-cv-00633-APG-CWH Document 16 Filed 12/20/16 Page 2 of 2

1 The United States' Motion to Dismiss is based the fact that Plaintiff is pursuing a 2 workers' compensation claim against the National Park Service arising out of the same injuries 3 that are the subject of his FTCA claim. Counsel for the parties have discussed the issues and 4 believe that it is in their best interest to await a ruling by the Court on the pending motion to 5 avoid having to incur the time and expenses associated with pretrial discovery, in particular as 6 the Motion to Dismiss is based on a jurisdictional issue that would resolve all pending claims if 7 granted. Many of the relevant fact witnesses are now located out of state and significant travel 8 will be required to accomplish fact witness depositions. Accordingly, a stay would conserve 9 the resources of the parties and the Court. As such, the parties respectfully request that the 10 Court stay discovery in this matter. 11 Respectfully submitted this 19th day of December 2016. 12 WEINER LAW GROUP, LLC DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney 13 /s/ Gregory G. Cortese /s/ Lindsy M. Roberts 14 LINDSY M. ROBERTS GREGORY G. CORTESE 2820 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 35 **Assistant United States Attorney** 15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 gcortese@weinerlawnevada.com 16

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneys for the United States

IT IS SO ORDERED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the pending motion to dismiss is denied, the parties must meet and confer and file a revised proposed discovery plan and scheduling order within 21 days of the order on the motion to dismiss.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

December 20, 2016

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28